

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/809,139	03/25/2004	John A. Eaton	50121-00003	7709
25231 7	590 03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
MARSH, FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP			WEAVER, SUE A	
3151 SOUTH ' SUITE 411	VAUGHN WAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AURORA, CO	O 80014		3727	
			DATE MAH ED. 02/20/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(v)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,139	EATON ET AL.	·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sue A. Weaver	3727	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 January 2006.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-32 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-8,13,17-20 and 23-32 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9-12,14-16,21 and 22 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration. ejected. cted to.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			NED 4.404410
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this Nationa	ıl Stage -
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Traffsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/17/06. 	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/809,139

Art Unit: 3727

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Contrary to applicants' remarks the figures do not show a container in which each of the faces contains a groove as is now claimed. Furthermore a careful review of the description does not find any such suggestion.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants are advised that "a single side wall" in line 16 appears to be a double inclusion of "a sidewall" in line 6, as applicants have not properly related the structures.

4. Claim1, 3-6, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buckley '377, of record.

Member 30 for example defines a recess in the bottom wall if the container of
Buckley with grooves 32 and 34 at an angle and receiving the thumb as shown in Figure
6. The container of Buckley is clearly capable of holding a beverage such as when

Application/Control Number: 10/809,139

Art Unit: 3727

making eggnog. To have provided only one groove and forgo the advantage of left and right hand use would have been no more than an obvious elimination of a part and it's function.

5. Claims 13, 17-19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Creaver '391, of record.

Note the bottle of Creaver which is capable of holding a beverage and has at least 3 walls extending from a bottom. Figure 1 shows a hand in broken lines with the corner resting in the palm and angle grooves for receiving the fingers and thumb as claimed.

6. Claims 7, 8 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of Fulcher et al '350, of record.

To have connected the groves to form one continuous gripping recess for ease of use in the manner taught by Fulcher et al at 4 would have been most obvious. The feature 5 of Fulcher et al is considered to define an inverted V.

7. Claims 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 13 and 23 above, and further in view of Ewing, Jr '790, cited by applicants.

To have made the container of a size to be gripped with a hand around the upper portion and rounded the side wall would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Ewing, Jr.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,139 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

8. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Kelly '997.

To have further provided a bail handle at the top of the container to aid in handling depending on the capacity of the container would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Kelly at 83,

9. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tardif '452.

To have further provided the container of Buckley with upper walls that are tapered for ease of pouring would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Tardif at 20.

- 10. Claims 9-12 and 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claim 32 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 13. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information

Art Unit: 3727

and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:
Certificate of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. () on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:
Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning

SW

SUE A. WEAVER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3200